



## **SPECIAL 510(k) SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE DETERMINATION DECISION SUMMARY**

### **I Background Information:**

#### **A 510(k) Number**

K242613

#### **B Applicant**

Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, Inc.

#### **C Proprietary and Established Names**

NxTAG Respiratory Pathogen Panel

#### **D Regulatory Information**

| <b>Product Code(s)</b> | <b>Classification</b> | <b>Regulation Section</b>   | <b>Panel</b>      |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|
| OCC                    | Class II              | 21 CFR 866.3980 -<br>Respiratory Viral Panel<br>Multiplex Nucleic Acid<br>Assay | MI - Microbiology |

### **II Review Summary:**

This 510(k) submission contains information/data on modifications made to the submitter's own **CLASS II** device requiring 510(k). The following items are present and acceptable:

1. The name and 510(k) number of the **SUBMITTER'S** previously cleared device.
2. Submitter's statement that the **INDICATIONS FOR USE/INTENDED USE** of the modified device as described in its labeling **HAS NOT CHANGED** along with the proposed labeling which includes instructions for use, package labeling, and, if available, advertisements or promotional materials.
3. A description of the device **MODIFICATION(S)**, in sufficient detail to demonstrate that the **FUNDAMENTAL SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY** of the modified device **has not changed**. This change was to add a limitation to the package insert stating that hMPV

**positive results should be confirmed by alternative molecular methods if medically indicated.**

4. Comparison Information (i.e., similarities and differences) to the submitter's legally marketed predicate device including, labeling, intended use, and physical characteristics.
5. A Design Control Activities Summary which includes:
  - a) Identification of Risk Analysis method(s) used to assess the impact of the modification on the device and its components, and the results of the analysis.
  - b) Based on the Risk Analysis, an identification of the verification and/or validation activities required, including methods or tests used and acceptance criteria to be applied.

The labeling for this modified subject device has been reviewed to verify that the indication/intended use for the device is unaffected by the modification. In addition, the submitter's description of the particular modification(s) and the comparative information between the modified and unmodified devices demonstrate that the fundamental scientific technology has not changed. The submitter has provided the design control information as specified in The New 510(k) Paradigm and on this basis, I recommend the device be determined substantially equivalent to the previously cleared (or their preamendment) device.