

## SPECIAL 510(k): Device Modification

To: THE FILE

RE: DOCUMENT NUMBER K092500

---

This 510(k) submission contains information/data on modifications made to the SUBMITTER'S own Class II, Class III or Class I devices requiring 510(k). The following items are present and acceptable:

1. The name and 510(k) number of the SUBMITTER'S previously cleared device: ProFlu™ Plus, K073029, cleared on January 4, 2008
2. Submitter's statement that the **INDICATION/INTENDED USE** of the modified device as described in its labeling **HAS NOT CHANGED** along with the proposed labeling which includes instructions for use.
3. A description of the device **MODIFICATION(S)**, including clearly product labeling in sufficient detail to demonstrate that the **FUNDAMENTAL SCIENTIFIC TECHNOLOGY** of the modified device **has not changed**.

This change was for the modification of the ProFlu™ Plus package insert to update the analytical reactivity table to include reactivity data on the clinical isolates of 2009 H1N1 influenza virus cultured and titered. Cultures confirmed positive for 2009 H1N1 (swine) Influenza A strain using CDC Real-time RTPCR (rRTPCR) Protocol for Detection and Characterization of Swine Influenza (version 2009).
4. **Comparison Information** (similarities and differences) to applicant's legally marketed predicate device including, labeling, intended use, and physical characteristics.
5. A **Design Control Activities Summary** which included:
  - a) Identification of Risk Analysis method(s) used to assess the impact of the modification on the device and its components, and the results of the analysis
  - b) Based on the Risk Analysis, an identification of the verification and/or validation activities required, including methods or tests used and acceptance criteria to be applied
  - c) A declaration of conformity with design controls. The declaration of conformity included:
    - i) A statement signed by the individual responsible, that, as required by the risk analysis, all verification and validation activities were performed by the designated individual(s) and the results demonstrated that the predetermined acceptance criteria were met, and
    - ii) A statement signed by the individual responsible, that the manufacturing facility is in conformance with design control procedure requirements as specified in 21 CFR 820.30 and the records are available for review.
6. A **Truthful and Accurate Statement, a 510(k) Summary or Statement** and the **Indications for Use Enclosure (and Class III Summary for Class III devices)**.

The labeling for this modified subject device has been reviewed to verify that the indication/intended use for the device is unaffected by the modification. In addition, the submitter's description of the particular modification(s) and the comparative information between the modified and unmodified devices demonstrate that the fundamental scientific technology has not changed. The submitter has provided the design control information as specified in The New 510(k) Paradigm and on this basis, I recommend the device be determined substantially equivalent to the previously cleared (or their preamendment) device.